



University of Groningen

Can a one-item mood scale do the trick? Predicting relapse over 5.5-years in recurrent depression

van Rijsbergen, Gerard D.; Bockting, Claudi L. H.; Berking, Matthias; Koeter, Maarten W.J.; Schene, Aart H.

Published in:
PLoS ONE

DOI:
[10.1371/journal.pone.0046796](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046796)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van Rijsbergen, G. D., Bockting, C. L. H., Berking, M., Koeter, M. W. J., & Schene, A. H. (2012). Can a one-item mood scale do the trick? Predicting relapse over 5.5-years in recurrent depression. PLoS ONE, 7(10), [e46796]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046796>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Can a One-Item Mood Scale Do the Trick? Predicting Relapse over 5.5-Years in Recurrent Depression

Gerard D. van Rijsbergen¹, Claudi L. H. Bockting^{1*}, Matthias Berking², Maarten W. J. Koeter³, Aart H. Schene³

¹ Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ² Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany, ³ Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

Background: To examine whether a simple Visual Analogue Mood Scale (VAMS) is able to predict time to relapse over 5.5-years.

Methodology/Principal Findings: 187 remitted recurrently depressed out-patients were interviewed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) and the 17-item Hamilton Depression rating scale (HAM-D) to verify remission status (HAM-D <10). All patients rated their current mood with the help of a Visual Analogue Mood Scale (VAMS) at baseline and at a follow-up assessment three months later. Relapse over 5.5-years was assessed by the SCID-I. Cox regression revealed that both the VAMS at baseline and three months later significantly predicted time to relapse over 5.5-years. Baseline VAMS even predicted time to relapse when the number of previous depressive episodes and HAM-D scores were controlled for. The baseline VAMS explained 6.3% of variance in time to relapse, comparable to the HAM-D interview.

Conclusions/Significance: Sad mood after remission appears to play a pivotal role in the course of depression. Since a simple VAMS predicted time to relapse, the VAMS might be an easy and time-effective way to monitor mood and risk of early relapse, and offers possibilities for daily monitoring using e-mail and SMS.

Trial Registration: International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Register Identifier: ISRCTN68246470.

Citation: van Rijsbergen GD, Bockting CLH, Berking M, Koeter MWJ, Schene AH (2012) Can a One-Item Mood Scale Do the Trick? Predicting Relapse over 5.5-Years in Recurrent Depression. PLoS ONE 7(10): e46796. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046796

Editor: Bernhard T. Baune, University of Adelaide, Australia

Received: July 26, 2012; **Accepted:** September 6, 2012; **Published:** October 3, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 van Rijsbergen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This research is funded by ZONMW: The Netherlands Association for Health research and Development (ZONMW, prevention: 2200.0029). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: c.l.h.bockting@rug.nl

Introduction

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is a recurrent disorder with 80% risk of relapse in the absence of adequate treatment [1]. After remission, residual symptoms are a consistent predictor of relapse [2,3]. Since residual symptoms are known to fluctuate within patients [4], thorough and frequent monitoring after remission is important in order to detect potential relapse.

The semi-structured Hamilton Depression rating scale (HAM-D) interview [5] is one of the most frequently used instruments to monitor patients and assess depressive symptomatology [6]. Higher scores on the HAM-D have been found to predict relapse [7–10]. Nevertheless, administration of the HAM-D has some disadvantages including its extensive length (similar to self-report measures; IDS-SR: 30 items, BDI-II: 21 items), time required for administration, and reliance on training [11,12]. Unidimensional subscales (six up to 14 items) derived from the HAM-D were comparable to the total HAM-D in predicting which patients had remitted from acute MDD [13–18]. However, even less extensive versions of the HAM-D are time consuming and rely on training.

Depressed mood after remission appears to be an important symptom in the process of relapse. A recent study demonstrated

that depressed mood after remission, as assessed by the Mood Spectrum Self-report Questionnaire, was predictive of relapse over 6 months in remitted patients [19]. Moreover, mood reactivity to a sad mood provocation (i.e. increases in sad mood) was a vulnerability factor in 48 remitted depressed patients [20], and predicted relapse over 5.5-years prospectively in 172 remitted recurrently depressed patients [21]. Depressed mood is also among the symptoms experienced in both the prodromal and the residual phase of depression [22]. This finding provides empirical support for the rollback theory in which residual symptoms are considered prodromal symptoms for the next depressive episode [22–24].

Mood can be assessed within one minute with a simple Visual Analogue Mood Scale (VAMS), on which patients rate their current mood by placing a single mark on a simple 10-centimeter line with ‘happy’ and ‘sad’ on either side. Indication of current mood using a 10-centimeter black line was found to correlate with HAM-D ($r = .79$; anchors ‘normal’ to ‘most depressed’) and BDI ($r = .58$; anchors ‘not at all depressed’ to ‘most depressed’) scores and was also able to detect clinical change [25–30]. Benefits of a VAMS include the limited amount of time and absence of clinical training required, easy comprehensibility, and easy application throughout daily life of a high risk patient. Moreover, the VAMS

offers opportunities for mood monitoring via internet and text messages. We are unaware of any studies using a VAMS in the prediction of relapse in depression.

Therefore the current study is the first to examine a) whether a VAMS predicts time to relapse in depression over 5.5-years in patients currently in remission from MDD, and b) the amount of variance explained in predicting time to relapse by the VAMS alone as well as c) when added to the HAM-D interview and vice versa.

Methods

Participants

The current study was part of a Randomized Controlled Trial comparing the effectiveness of preventive Cognitive Therapy (CT) added to Treatment As Usual (TAU) and compared to TAU alone in the prevention of relapse. The protocol was approved by the Amsterdam Medical Center ethical board. All patients provided written informed consent prior to participation in the study (see [31,32] for more details). All participants of the RCT participated in the current study. In order to participate in the study patients had a) experienced two or more Major Depressive Episodes (MDEs) in the previous five years and b) current remission of MDE for at least 10 weeks but no longer than two years both defined according to the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-IV, [33]) and assessed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID, [34]) administered by trained interviewers; and c) a current score of <10 on the HAM-D. Exclusion criteria were: current mania or hypomania or a history of bipolar illness, any psychotic disorder (current and previous), organic brain damage, alcohol or drug abuse, predominant anxiety disorder, recent electroconvulsion therapy, recent cognitive treatment or receiving CT at the start of the study, or current psychotherapy with a frequency of more than twice a month.

Measures

Visual analogue mood scale. Patients were asked to rate their current mood at baseline and three months after baseline by placing a cross on a Visual Analogue Mood Scale (VAMS) with the following instruction: ‘You can answer the following question by placing a cross on the line from 0 to 10: at the moment I feel.’. The VAMS measured 100 mm between the two anchors with the descriptor “happy” located to the left of the center while “sad” was located on the right.

17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale

The 17-item Hamilton Depression rating scale (HAM-D, [5]) was used by telephone [35] to assess levels of depressive symptomatology at baseline and three months after baseline. This widely used semi-structured interview covers affective, behavioral and biological symptoms with scores that range between 0 and 52. The HAM-D was administered by trained research assistants and psychologists who were blind to treatment condition. Second ratings ($n=17$) demonstrated high intraclass correlation ($r=.94$), indicating high agreement.

Relapse/recurrence

The main outcome measure was time to relapse/recurrence assessed using the SCID-I. Current and past MDEs were checked for all patients at five assessment-points (3, 12, 24, 36 and 66 months). To keep the assessors blind with respect to treatment condition, we instructed participants not to reveal this information to the interviewers. Kappa (κ) for interrater agreement on relapse between the interviewers and an independent psychiatrist, assessed

over the assessment period, ranged between 0.94 to 0.96, indicating excellent agreement.

Use of Antidepressant Medication (ADM)

Patients were asked about their use of ADM for the last depression before entry of the study and whether they continued using ADM after remission [36,37]. During the first two years of the study, every three months, information on ADM (type and dosage) over the previous month was monitored using the Trimbos/iMTA Self-Report Questionnaire for Costs associated with Psychiatric Illness [38], which covers a maximum recall period of one month. Additionally, information on continuous use was also collected by the interviewer during the 24, 36 and 66 month interviews retrospectively. Adherence was assessed with the Medication Adherence Questionnaire (MAQ) [39].

Statistical Analysis

First of all, we were interested in the prediction of time to relapse within 5.5-years by the VAMS at the two available assessment-points (baseline and three months after baseline). We used survival analysis (Cox regression) in which patients who dropped-out or who did not relapse within 5.5-years were treated as censored. Since use of ADM and number of previous episodes might influence VAMS scores, we checked all models for confounding by these variables.

To assess whether CT moderated the relation between the predictors and relapse, we examined the two-way interaction of Condition x predictor and the three-way interaction of Condition x predictor x Previous MDEs since in a previous study the number of previous MDEs was a moderator of predictors of interest on relapse [31,32]. If CT affected this relation the analyses was restricted to the control group only, otherwise the analysis was performed on the complete sample.

Moreover, we were interested in the amount of variance explained in time to relapse by the VAMS and the HAM-D interview. Since Cox regression does not provide a measure for explained variance directly, we calculated explained variance using Nagelkerke's R^2 [40] formula. We calculated explained variance for a model containing the VAMS only, as well as two combined models in which the HAM-D was entered in the first block whereas the VAMS was entered in the second block and vice versa.

Results

Patient Characteristics and Flow

In total 187 formerly depressed patients were included in the study. For the analyses we excluded 15 patients (drop-outs), resulting in a remaining 172 patients. Drop-outs were slightly younger ($t(170) = -2.25, p = 0.03$), but did not differ on any other characteristic.

Demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Patients were predominantly female (74%) and were currently in remission of a highly recurrent MDD (median number of MDEs: 4.0) with 3.8 residual symptoms (HAM-D) on average.

Preliminary VAMS Analyses

The VAMS at baseline and three months later demonstrated moderate stability and were significantly associated ($r=.30; p<0.001$). After controlling for depressive symptoms (HAM-D) at three months after baseline, both VAMS measurements remained significantly associated ($r=.25; p = 0.001$).

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics at Baseline.

	TAU (n = 84)	TAU + CT (n = 88)
Demographics ^a		
Female % (n)	74.0 (62)	73.0 (64)
Age	43.4 (9.8)	45.9 (9.1)
Median previous episodes (IQR)	3.0 (3.8)	4.0 (3.8)
Age of first onset	28.1 (12.5)	28.9 (12.6)
Patients on antidepressants % (n)	50.0 (42.0)	52.0 (46.0)
Clinical characteristics		
VAMS _{baseline}	37.0 (21.0)	34.0 (20.0)
VAMS _{three months}	33.0 (19.0)	32.0 (18.0)
Total HAM-D ₁₇	3.7 (2.9)	3.8 (2.8)

Note. TAU = Treatment As Usual, CT = Cognitive Therapy, IQR = Interquartile Range, VAMS = Visual Analogue Mood Scale.

^aAll values represent mean (SD) unless stated otherwise.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046796.t001

Prediction of Relapse Using the VAMS

Since none of the interaction terms were significant and neither the number of previous MDEs nor use of ADM confounded the predictor of interest, interaction terms were subsequently dropped from the model and Cox regression was fitted with the individual predictor only (see Table S1, S2 for interaction coefficients).

Cox regression revealed that the baseline VAMS significantly predicted time to relapse in 5.5-years (Wald $\chi^2(2,1) = 11.758$, $p = 0.001$, hazard ratio = 1.15). Each centimeter increase on the VAMS increased the prospective risk of relapse with a factor 1.15 (15%). The baseline VAMS remained a significant predictor up and above the most consistent predictors of relapse, the total HAM-D and the number of previous MDEs.

In order to replicate prediction of relapse by a single VAMS in the current sample, we subsequently investigated whether a single VAMS administered three months after baseline was also predictive of relapse. Again, a single VAMS predicted time to relapse in 5.5-years (Wald $\chi^2(2,1) = 7.091$, $p = 0.008$, hazard ratio = 1.12), though this time not up and above the total HAM-D.

Comparing Explained Variance of the Baseline VAMS to the HAM-D

A previous study on this sample already demonstrated that the total baseline HAM-D predicted time to relapse, and explained 6.0% in variance of time to relapse over 5.5-years [10].

We were now interested in the amount of variance explained by the baseline VAMS, which was 6.3% (comparable between conditions, 5.5% for TAU and 6.8% for TAU+CT). Second, when the VAMS was added to a model already containing the HAM-D, explained variance of the model increased from 6.0% to 10.3%. Likewise, when the total HAM-D was added to a model already containing the VAMS, an additional 4.1% was explained.

Discussion

The current study focused on the question whether a simple Visual Analogue Mood Scale (VAMS) predicted time to relapse in depression over 5.5-years, and how well the VAMS predicted time to relapse compared to the HAM-D.

The current study demonstrated that even a simple VAMS was able to predict time to relapse for 5.5-years in recurrently depressed patients currently in remission. Both VAMS measurements (at baseline and three months later) predicted time to relapse and were significantly associated to each other. The baseline VAMS even predicted relapse up and above a frequently used depression interview, i.e. the HAM-D interview. Our findings stress the relevance of mood as a risk factor for relapse, which is in line with previous studies [19–21].

Moreover, the baseline VAMS predicted time to relapse for 5.5-years comparable to the HAM-D interview in terms of explained variance. When the VAMS was added to the HAM-D, additional variance was explained. However, the same was true when HAM-D was added to the VAMS, suggesting differences in what both instruments measure. Nevertheless, the predictive power of the VAMS as well as the HAM-D was indicative of a small effect size (6% in variance). Potentially, when assessed repeatedly, explained variance of the VAMS might increase.

Previous use of a Visual Analogue Scale in patients already demonstrated high convergent validity ($r = .85$) and test re-test reliability ($r = .96$) in the improvement of winter depression in 162 patients receiving light therapy [41]. Furthermore, a Visual Analogue Scale was also able to detect depressive symptoms among 157 elderly patients with cognitive impairments [42], but also in patients suffering from somatic illnesses including coronary syndrome [43] and diabetes mellitus [44]. The current study extends the use of a VAMS to the prediction of relapse in depression. The VAMS is easily administered in less than a minute and might be a feasible way to assess patients at high risk of relapse in clinical practice. Moreover, the VAMS could be used in combination with the HAM-D in stepwise monitoring, as a tool for early detection of relapse using new devices including mobile phone applications, short message service and e-mail monitoring [45–48]. When mood on the VAMS appears to be low, monitoring could be intensified using the HAM-D interview.

Several limitations of the current study have to be noted. First of all, since Cox regression does not provide explained variance directly, explained variance had to be calculated using Nagelkerke's R^2 [40]. The amount of variance explained should therefore be considered as an estimation. Second, although we checked our analyses for confounding by baseline use of ADM, we cannot completely rule out the potential influence of ADM on prediction by the VAMS later in the study since actual use was monitored only twice (retrospectively) in the final 3.5-years of the study. Third, the three-month stability of the VAMS used in the current study was maximal moderate. The use of this simple and quick screening instrument might thus result in decreases in reliability. Finally, lack of standardization of the VAMS has resulted in many different one-item mood scales. While in the current study, similar to previous studies [49–51], 'happy' and 'sad' were used as anchors, other anchors have been described in the literature as well, i.e. 'neutral' to 'sad', 'not at all depressed' to 'most depressed', 'worst mood' to 'best mood' [26–28,52], which makes it difficult to compare the VAMS among studies and could explain differences in results. It is currently unknown which anchors are most reliable in measuring mood. Future studies should therefore focus on optimizing the VAMS scale by determining the most sensitive and reliable anchor points. Furthermore, since previous studies indicate that mood and fluctuations in mood are related to relapse [19–21] studies should include repeated assessment of mood (daily sampling) to study the stability of mood and thereby enhance early detection of relapse.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Cox Regression Model on Prediction of Relapse Including Three-way Interaction of Predictor x Condition x Previous MDEs (n = 172).

(DOCX)

Table S2 Cox Regression Model on Prediction of Relapse Including Two-way Interaction of Predictor x Condition (n = 172).

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We are most grateful to the patients of our study. In addition, we express our appreciation to the participating psychiatric sites for their recruitment

References

- Mueller TI, Leon AC (1999) Recurrence after recovery from major depressive disorder during 15 years of observational follow-up. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 156: 1000.
- Paykel ES, Ramana R, Cooper Z, Hayhurst H (1995) Residual symptoms after partial remission: An important outcome in depression. *Psychological Medicine: A Journal of Research in Psychiatry and the Allied Sciences* 25: 1171–1180.
- Judd LL, Akiskal HS, Maser JD, Zeller PJ, Endicott J, et al. (1998) Major depressive disorder: A prospective study of residual subthreshold depressive symptoms as predictor of rapid relapse. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 50: 97–108.
- Judd LL, Akiskal HS, Maser JD, Zeller PJ, Endicott J, et al. (1998) A prospective 12-year study of subsyndromal and syndromal depressive symptoms in unipolar major depressive disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 55: 694–700.
- Hamilton M (1960) A rating scale for depression. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* 23: 56–62.
- Williams JBW (2001) Standardizing the hamilton depression rating scale: Past, present, and future. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience* 251: 6–12.
- Kennedy N, Paykel ES (2004) Residual symptoms at remission from depression: Impact on long-term outcome. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 80: 135–144.
- Taylor DJ, Walters HM, Vittengl JR, Krebaum S, Jarrett RB (2009) Which depressive symptoms remain after response to cognitive therapy of depression and predict relapse and recurrence? *Journal of Affective Disorders*.
- Bockting CLH, Spinhoven P, Koeter MWJ, Wouters LF, Schene AH (2006) Prediction of recurrence in recurrent depression and the influence of consecutive episodes on vulnerability for depression: A 2-year prospective study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 67: 747–755.
- Ten Doesschate MC, Bockting CLH, Koeter MWJ, Schene AH (2010) Prediction of recurrence in recurrent depression: A 5.5-year prospective study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 71: 984–991.
- Hooijer C, Zitman FG, Griez E, Van Tilburg W (1991) The hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS): Changes in scores as a function of training and version used. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 22: 21–29.
- Engelhardt N, Feiger AD, Cogger KO, Sikich D, DeBrot DJ, et al. (2006) Rating the raters: Assessing the quality of hamilton rating scale for depression clinical interviews in two industry-sponsored clinical drug trials. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* 26: 71–74.
- Maier W, Philipp M (1985) Comparative analysis of observer depression scales. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 72: 239–245.
- Bech P (1981) The hamilton depression scale: Evaluation of objectivity using logistic models. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 63: 290–299.
- Bech P (1975) Quantitative rating of depressive states: Correlation between clinical assessment, bech's self-rating scale and hamilton's objective rating scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 51: 161–170.
- Bech P, Allerup P, Reisby N, Gram LF (1984) Assessment of symptom change from improvement curves on the hamilton depression scale in trials with antidepressants. *Psychopharmacology* 84: 276–281.
- Entsuah R, Shaffer M, Zhang J (2002) A critical examination of the sensitivity of unidimensional subscales derived from the hamilton depression rating scale to antidepressant drug effects. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 36: 437–448.
- Ruhé HG, Dekker JJ, Peen J, Holman R, De Jonghe F (2005) Clinical use of the hamilton depression rating scale: Is increased efficiency possible? A post hoc comparison of hamilton depression rating scale, maier and bech subscales, clinical global impression, and symptom checklist-90 scores. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 46: 417–427.
- Rucci P, Frank E, Calugi S, Miniati M, Benvenuti A, et al. (2011) Incidence and predictors of relapse during continuation treatment of major depression with SSRI, interpersonal psychotherapy, or their combination. *Depression and Anxiety* 28: 955–962.
- Lethbridge R, Allen NB (2008) Mood induced cognitive and emotional reactivity, life stress, and the prediction of depressive relapse. *Behaviour Research & Therapy* 46: 1142–1150.
- Van Rijsbergen GD, Bockting CLH, Burger H, Spinhoven P, Koeter MWJ, et al. (In Press). Mood reactivity rather than cognitive reactivity is predictive of depressive recurrence: A randomized study with 5.5-year follow-up.
- Iacoviello BM, Alloy LB, Abramson LY, Choi JY (2010) The early course of depression: A longitudinal investigation of prodromal symptoms and their relation to the symptomatic course of depressive episodes. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 119: 459–467.
- Fava GA, Grandi S, Canestrari R, Molnar G (1990) Prodromal symptoms in primary major depressive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 19: 149–152.
- Fava GA, Grandi S, Zielezny M, Canestrari R (1994) Cognitive behavioral treatment of residual symptoms in primary major depressive disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 151: 1295–1299.
- Little JC, McPhail NI (1973) Measures of depressive mood at monthly intervals. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 122: 447–452.
- Luria RE (1975) The validity and reliability of the visual analogue mood scale. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 12: 51–57.
- Ahearn EP (1997) The use of visual analog scales in mood disorders: A critical review. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 31: 569–579.
- Cella DF, Perry SW (1986) Reliability and concurrent validity of three visual-analogue mood scales. *Psychological Reports* 59: 827–833.
- Killgore WDS (1999) The visual analogue mood scale: Can a single-item scale accurately classify depressive mood state? *Psychological Reports* 85: 1238–1243.
- Zealley AK, Aitken RC (1969) Measurement of mood. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine* 62: 993–996.
- Bockting CLH, Schene AH, Spinhoven P, Koeter MWJ, Wouters LF, et al. (2005) Preventing Relapse/Recurrence in recurrent depression with cognitive therapy: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology* 73: 647–657.
- Bockting CLH, Spinhoven P, Wouters LF, Koeter MWJ, Schene AH (2009) Long-term effects of preventive cognitive therapy in recurrent depression: A 5.5-year follow-up study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 70: 1621–1628.
- American Psychological Association (1994) *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed.). Arlington, VA US: American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc.
- Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Gibbon M, First MB (1990) *User's guide for the structured clinical interview for DSM-III-R: SCID*. Washington, DC US: American Psychiatric Association.
- Simon GE, Revicki D, VonKorff M (1993) Telephone assessment of depression severity. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 27: 247–252.
- Bockting CLH, Ten Doesschate MC, Spijker J, Spinhoven P, Koeter MWJ, et al. (2007) Continuation and maintenance use of antidepressants in recurrent depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 77: 17–26.
- Ten Doesschate MC, Bockting CLH, Schene AH (2009) Adherence to continuation and maintenance antidepressant use in recurrent depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 115: 167–170.
- Hakkaart-van Roijen L, van Straten A, Donker M, Tiemens B (2002) *Manual: Trimbos/iMTA questionnaire for costs associated with psychiatric illness (TiC-P)*. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Institute for Medical Technology Assessment.
- Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM (1986) Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence. *Medical Care* 24: 67–74.
- Nagelkerke NJD (1991) A note on a general definition of the coefficient of determination. *Biometrika* 78: 691–692.
- Lingjærde O, Førelund AR (1998) Direct assessment of improvement in winter depression with a visual analogue scale: High reliability and validity. *Psychiatry Research* 81: 387–392.
- Kertzman S, Aladjem Z, Milo R, Ben-Nahum Z, Birger M, et al. (2004) The utility of the visual analogue scale for the assessment of depressive mood in

efforts, and to the following therapists for conducting the cognitive therapy: Willemijn Scholten, Swanny Wierenga, Mieke van der Rijken, Ruud Kol, Birgitta Schalken, Els Loeb, Vera van der Kraan, and Annemarie Fouwels. We also thank our interviewers and independent raters and specifically Irene Visch for assistance with data management and support. The following colleagues contributed to the DELTA (Depression Evaluation Longitudinal Therapy Assessment) study: Hanneke Assies, Claudi Bockting, Mascha ten Doesschate, Jochanan Huyser, Anja Lok, Maarten Koeter, Guido Nabarro, Henricus Ruhé, Aart Schene, Philip Spinhoven, Ieke Visser, Ellie Wekking, and Luuk Wouters.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CB AS. Performed the experiments: CB. Analyzed the data: GR CB. Wrote the paper: GR CB MB MK AS.

- cognitively impaired patients. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 19: 789–796.
43. Di Benedetto M, Lindner H, Hare DL, Kent S (2005) A cardiac depression visual analogue scale for the brief and rapid assessment of depression following acute coronary syndromes. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 59: 223–229.
 44. Maas-van Schaaijk NM, Odink RJ, Ultee K, van Baar AL (2011) Can one question be a useful indicator of psychosocial problems in adolescents with diabetes mellitus? *Acta Paediatrica* 100: 708–711.
 45. Bockting CLH, Kok GD, van der Kamp, Smit F, van Valen E, et al. (2011) Disrupting the rhythm of depression using mobile cognitive therapy for recurrent depression: Randomized controlled trial design and protocol. *BMC Psychiatry* 11.
 46. Farrer L, Christensen H, Griffiths KM, Mackinnon A (2012) Web-based cognitive behavior therapy for depression with and without telephone tracking in a national helpline: Secondary outcomes from a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 14: e68–e68.
 47. Johansson R, Ekbladh S, Hebert A, Lindström M, Möller S, et al. (2012) Psychodynamic guided self-help for adult depression through the internet: A randomised controlled trial. *Plos One* 7: e38021–e38021.
 48. Johansson R, Sjöberg E, Sjögren M, Johnsson E, Carlbring P, et al. (2012) Tailored vs. standardized internet-based cognitive behavior therapy for depression and comorbid symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. *Plos One* 7: e36905–e36905.
 49. Segal ZV, Gemar M, Williams S (1999) Differential cognitive response to a mood challenge following successful cognitive therapy or. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 108: 3.
 50. Segal ZV, Kennedy S, Gemar M, Hood K, Pedersen R, et al. (2006) Cognitive reactivity to sad mood provocation and the prediction of depressive relapse. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 63: 749–755.
 51. Kuyken W, Watkins E, Holden E, White K, Taylor RS, et al. (2010) How does mindfulness-based cognitive therapy work? *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 48: 1105–1112.
 52. Nyenhuis DL, Stern RA, Yamamoto C, Luchetta T, Arruda JE (1997) Standardization and validation of the visual analog mood scales. *Clinical Neuropsychologist* 11: 407–415.